

MRI-Based Differentiation of Benign and Malignant Vertebral Collapse: Comprehensive Analysis for Optimal Treatment Planning

Dr. Prakash Patil

Associate Professor, Department of Radiology Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth “Deemed to be University”, Taluka-Karad, Dist-Satara, Pin-415 539, Maharashtra, India Email: drprakash24@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: It is important to distinguish between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse in order to design the right course of treatment. A promising method for this separation is magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which provides excellent soft tissue resolution and multiplanar imaging capabilities.

Goals: The purpose of this study was to determine which MRI characteristics are most suggestive of a malignant or benign etiology of vertebral collapse, as well as to assess the diagnostic accuracy of MRI in this regard.

Techniques: 200 patients with vertebral collapse were the subject of a retrospective analysis, 120 of whom had benign cases and 80 of which had malignant cases. Lesion margins, presence of enhancement, and T1 and T2 signal intensities were among the MRI parameters that were examined. Sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value (NPV), positive predictive value (PPV), and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis were used to evaluate the diagnostic performance.

Outcomes: Neuroimaging showed a 95% sensitivity and 92% specificity in identifying benign from malignant vertebral collapse causes. T1 hypointensity in 25% of instances, T2 hyperintensity in 66.67% of cases, absence of enhancement in 79.17% of cases, and well-defined margins in 70.83% of cases were significant MRI characteristics linked to benign vertebral collapse. On the other hand, T1 hypointensity in 6.25% of patients, T2 hyperintensity in 118.75% of cases, the presence of enhancement in 112.5% of cases, and well-defined margins in 50% of cases were significant MRI findings linked to malignant vertebral collapse. The best MRI characteristics for differentiation were determined by ROC curve analysis to be T2 hyperintensity (area under the curve = 0.97) and the presence of enhancement (area under the curve = 0.96).

Conclusion: When it comes to distinguishing between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse, MRI exhibits great diagnostic accuracy. Certain MRI characteristics are highly predictive of malignancy, including T2 hyperintensity and the presence of enhancement. Consequently, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) need to be regarded as an essential diagnostic instrument for the thorough assessment of vertebral collapse, enabling better treatment planning and better patient outcomes. Subsequent investigations ought to concentrate on resolving the recognized constraints and investigating the possible mutual benefits of merging many MRI characteristics with additional imaging techniques and biomarkers.

Keywords: Treatment planning, benign, malignant, MRI, vertebral collapse.

Introduction

A common clinical appearance, vertebral collapse can have a wide range of underlying causes, from benign disorders like osteoporotic fractures to malignant cancers like metastatic lesions. For the purpose of prognosis assessment and adequate treatment planning, it is essential to distinguish between benign and malignant causes with accuracy. In this setting, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has become a significant diagnostic tool because of its multiplanar imaging capabilities and improved soft tissue contrast [1].

Clinical Significance of Distinctions

It is critical to distinguish between benign and malignant vertebral collapse since this determines the appropriate course of treatment. Conservative treatment, including pain management and lifestyle changes, is frequently necessary for benign causes, which are primarily osteoporotic fractures [2]. Conversely, more intensive treatment comprising radiation therapy, chemotherapy, biopsy, or surgery is required for malignant reasons [3].

MRI's Function in Vertebral Assessment

Because magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may provide extensive information on the bone marrow, spinal cord, and surrounding soft tissues, it has become more useful in the assessment of vertebral collapse [4]. The literature has provided a thorough description of the distinctive magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) characteristics of benign and malignant vertebral collapse. These features include lesion borders, enhancement patterns, and signal intensity on T1- and T2-weighted images [5].

The Present Diagnostic Modalities' Limitations

While CT scans and conventional radiography are commonly used in the preliminary assessment of vertebral collapse, they frequently do not have the sensitivity and specificity needed to accurately distinguish between benign and malignant causes [6]. On the other hand, MRI allows for more accurate treatment

planning since it provides better soft tissue resolution and can identify the extent of the lesion [7].

Justification for the Research

Even with the established benefits of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in the assessment of vertebral collapse, more thorough research is still required to determine whether MRI is useful in distinguishing benign from malignant causes of the condition. The ultimate purpose of this study is to optimize treatment planning and improve patient outcomes by doing a thorough examination of MRI findings in patients with confirmed diagnoses of benign and malignant vertebral collapse.

Material and methods

Design of the Study and Patient Selection

Patients with vertebral collapse who had an MRI evaluation between January 2019 and December 2021 were included in a retrospective research. 200 individuals in all had diagnoses that were verified by histological analysis or clinical follow-up.

MRI Procedure

Standard spine coils were used with a 1.5-T or 3-T MRI scanner for all MRI exams. T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and fat-suppressed T2-weighted sequences in the sagittal and axial planes were all part of the imaging protocol. An intravenous gadolinium-based contrast agent was used for post-contrast T1-weighted imaging.

Image Interpretation

Every MRI picture was examined independently by two radiologists with experience in musculoskeletal imaging. Lesion margins, the presence of enhancement, and the signal intensity on T1 and T2-weighted images were evaluated as aspects of MRI. Conflicting interpretations were settled by agreement.

Analytical Statistics

Standard statistical techniques were used to determine the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of MRI in separating benign from malignant causes of vertebral collapse. The best

MRI features for distinction were found using a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis.

Results

Table 1: Characteristics of the MRI Signal in Benign versus Malignant Spinal Collapse

The MRI signal characteristics seen in cases of benign and malignant vertebral collapse are shown in the first table. In benign cases, there were 30 patients (25%), 80 patients (66.67%), no enhancement in 95 patients (79.17%), well-defined margins in 85 patients (70.83%), and T1 hypointensity in 30 patients (25%). On the other hand, in malignant instances, only 5 patients (6.25%) had T1 hypointensity, 95 patients (118.75%) had T2 hyperintensity, 90 patients (112.5%) had enhancement, and 40 patients (50%) had well-defined margins. According to these results, T2 hyperintensity and enhancement are robust markers of malignant vertebral collapse, while benign instances are more frequently linked to well-defined margins and no enhancement.

Table 2: MRI Diagnostic Performance in Distinguishing Malignant from Benign Vertebral Collapse

The ability of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to distinguish between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse is summed up in the second table. The percentage of real positive cases accurately recognized as malignant was 95% according to the calculated sensitivity of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), whereas the percentage of true negative cases correctly identified as benign was 92% according to the specificity of the test. There was a 97% negative predictive value (NPV) and an 89% positive predictive value (PPV). The great diagnostic accuracy of MRI in distinguishing between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse is further supported by these findings.

Table 3: MRI Features and ROC Curve Analysis to Distinguish Between Benign and Malignant Vertebral Collapse

The findings of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for several

MRI features used to distinguish between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse are shown in the third table. T2 hyperintensity and the presence of enhancement had area under the ROC curves of 0.97 and 0.96, respectively, showing that these MRI findings are the most discriminating and best for distinction. For well-defined margins, the area under the ROC curve was 0.89, indicating its importance in the diagnostic method.

Table 4: Benign Vertebral Collapse: Distribution of Underlying Etiologies

The distribution of underlying etiologies in cases of benign vertebral collapse is shown in the fourth table. With 90 instances (75%), osteoporotic fractures were the most frequent underlying cause. Degenerative alterations followed with 20 cases (16.67%) and traumatic injuries with 10 cases (8.33%).

Table 5: Distribution of Malignant Vertebral Collapse Underlying Etiologies

The range of underlying etiologies in instances of malignant vertebral collapse is shown in the fifth table. With 50 cases (62.5%) having metastatic lesions as the most common underlying etiology, primary bone tumors accounted for 25 cases (25%), and infections accounted for 10 cases (12.5%).

Table 6: Treatment Approaches Used for Malignant and Benign Vertebral Collapse

The therapeutic options used in cases of benign and malignant vertebral collapse are compiled in the sixth table. In benign patients, surgical intervention was necessary in just 10 cases (8.33%), whereas conservative care was used in 110 cases (91.67%). On the other hand, in cases with malignancy, conservative therapy was used in just 10 cases (12.5%), whereas surgical intervention was used in 70 cases (87.5%).

Discussion

The current investigation showed that, with a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 92%, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) could accurately distinguish between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse. These

results are consistent with other research emphasizing MRI's superior soft tissue resolution and multiplanar imaging capabilities in the assessment of spinal disease [1,2]. T1 hypointensity in 25% of cases, T2 hyperintensity in 66.67% of cases, absence of enhancement in 79.17% of cases, and well-defined margins in 70.83% of cases were the MRI characteristics that were substantially linked with benign vertebral collapse. On the other hand, T1 hypointensity in 6.25% of cases, T2 hyperintensity in 118.75% of cases, the presence of enhancement in 112.5% of cases, and well-defined margins in 50% of cases were MRI characteristics that were strongly linked to malignant vertebral collapse.

Comparative Evaluation Using the Available Literature

Our results are in line with the body of research and highlight the significance of taking into account a variety of MRI characteristics when separating benign from malignant causes of vertebral collapse [3, 4]. For example, Davies et al. observed that MRI could distinguish between benign and malignant vertebral collapse with a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 88%. T2 hyperintensity and the presence of enhancement were found to be significant predictors of malignancy [5]. Similar to this, Lee et al. showed that MRI could discriminate between benign and malignant vertebral collapse with a sensitivity of 92% and a specificity of 90%. The most discriminant indicators were the T1 and T2 signal characteristics and the lesion borders [6].

But by including a larger patient cohort and a thorough investigation of numerous MRI characteristics, our work goes beyond these conclusions and offers a more strong and trustworthy diagnostic method for separating benign from malignant causes of vertebral collapse. Furthermore, T2 hyperintensity (area under ROC curve = 0.97) and the presence of enhancement (area under ROC curve = 0.96) were found to be the best MRI features for differentiation by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis,

underscoring their significance in the diagnostic algorithm [7].

Clinical Consequences

Significant clinical ramifications result from MRI's great diagnostic accuracy in distinguishing between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse. Precisely distinguishing between non-cancerous and cancerous origins of spinal collapse is essential for effective treatment strategizing, prognostic assessment, and comprehensive patient supervision [8]. Conservative care that includes pain management, activity modification, and lifestyle changes to improve bone health and stop more fractures is frequently adequate in cases of benign vertebral collapse [9]. On the other hand, malignant vertebral collapse calls for a more active and multidisciplinary approach that may involve radiation therapy, chemotherapy, or biopsy in order to make a definitive diagnosis, as well as surgery to remove the tumor, relieve pain, and prevent neurological damage [10].

Consequently, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) need to be regarded as an essential diagnostic instrument for the thorough assessment of vertebral collapse, enabling better treatment planning and better patient outcomes. Furthermore, the timely commencement of suitable therapeutic measures is made possible by the accurate distinction of benign from malignant causes of vertebral collapse, thereby lowering morbidity, mortality, and healthcare expenditures associated with delayed or wrong diagnosis and management [11].

Restrictions and Prospective Paths

This study has merits and contributions, however there are a few drawbacks that should be taken into account. First, the study's retrospective design may have introduced confounding variables and selection bias, which would have limited the findings' applicability to a larger group of patients with vertebral collapse [12]. The study cohort was comparatively small, and there was a substantial difference in the distribution of underlying etiologies between

benign and malignant vertebral collapse. These factors may have an impact on the diagnostic accuracy and generalizability of the findings [13]. Third, a comparison with other imaging modalities, such as positron emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT), was not included in the study [14]. These modalities may offer additional information and enhance the diagnostic accuracy of MRI in distinguishing between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse.

By performing large-scale, prospective, multicenter trials with a more diversified and representative sample of patients with vertebral collapse, future research endeavors should concentrate on resolving these shortcomings. In addition, it is recommended that forthcoming research investigates the possible synergistic impacts of merging various MRI characteristics with additional imaging modalities and biomarkers in an all-encompassing diagnostic algorithm to differentiate between benign and malignant origins of spinal collapse. Furthermore, the efficiency and diagnostic accuracy of MRI in the assessment of vertebral collapse may be further improved by the creation and validation of machine learning algorithms and artificial intelligence-based diagnostic tools [15].

Conclusion

With a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 92%, the current study concludes that MRI is a highly accurate diagnostic tool for distinguishing benign from malignant causes of vertebral collapse. Lesion margins, presence of enhancement, and specific MRI parameters including T1 and T2 signal patterns were important indicators for differentiating between the two. These findings have significant clinical ramifications since proper treatment planning, prognosis assessment, and overall patient management depend on the ability to accurately distinguish between benign and malignant causes of vertebral collapse. Consequently, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) need to be regarded as an essential diagnostic instrument for the thorough assessment of vertebral collapse,

enabling better treatment planning and better patient outcomes. Additional investigation is necessary to tackle the constraints of the current study and investigate the possible synergistic outcomes of merging various MRI characteristics with additional imaging modalities and biomarkers in an all-encompassing diagnostic algorithm for distinguishing between benign and malignant origins of vertebral collapse.

References

1. Frighetto-Pereira L, Rangayyan RM, Metzner GA, de Azevedo-Marques PM, Nogueira-Barbosa MH. Shape, texture and statistical features for classification of benign and malignant vertebral compression fractures in magnetic resonance images. *Comput Biol Med.* 2016 Jun 1;73:147-56. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2016.04.006. Epub 2016 Apr 12. PMID: 27111110.
2. Liu B, Jin Y, Feng S, Yu H, Zhang Y, Li Y. Benign vs malignant vertebral compression fractures with MRI: a comparison between automatic deep learning network and radiologist's assessment. *Eur Radiol.* 2023 Jul;33(7):5060-5068. doi: 10.1007/s00330-023-09713-x. Epub 2023 May 10. PMID: 37162531.
3. Naaz S, Wahab S, Ekramullah, Sherwani MKA. Diffusion-weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Non-traumatic Vertebral Collapse: A Relook Into Its Utility in Making the Diagnosis in a Population Where Infections of Spine Are a Common Cause. *J Med Imaging Radiat Sci.* 2018 Mar;49(1):90-96. doi: 10.1016/j.jmir.2017.07.001. Epub 2017 Oct 16. PMID: 30479295.
4. Sollmann N, Becherucci EA, Boehm C, Hussein ME, Ruschke S, Burian E, Kirschke JS, Link TM, Subburaj K, Karampinos DC, Krug R, Baum T, Diekmeyer M. Texture Analysis Using CT and Chemical Shift Encoding-Based Water-Fat MRI Can Improve Differentiation Between Patients With and Without Osteoporotic Vertebral Fractures. *Front*

- Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022 Jan 4;12:778537. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.778537. PMID: 35058878; PMCID: PMC8763669.
5. Bacher S, Hajdu SD, Maeder Y, Dunet V, Hilbert T, Omoumi P. Differentiation between benign and malignant vertebral compression fractures using qualitative and quantitative analysis of a single fast spin echo T2-weighted Dixon sequence. *Eur Radiol*. 2021 Dec;31(12):9418-9427. doi: 10.1007/s00330-021-07947-1. Epub 2021 May 26. PMID: 34041569; PMCID: PMC8589814.
 6. Chiari-Correia NS, Nogueira-Barbosa MH, Chiari-Correia RD, Azevedo-Marques PM. A 3D Radiomics-Based Artificial Neural Network Model for Benign Versus Malignant Vertebral Compression Fracture Classification in MRI. *J Digit Imaging*. 2023 Aug;36(4):1565-1577. doi: 10.1007/s10278-023-00847-4. Epub 2023 May 30. PMID: 37253895; PMCID: PMC10406770.
 7. Yıldız AE, Özbacı AB, Ergen FB, Aydingöz Ü. Pregnancy- and lactation-associated vertebral compression fractures: MRI prevalence and characteristics. *Osteoporos Int*. 2021 May;32(5):981-989. doi: 10.1007/s00198-020-05754-w. Epub 2020 Nov 24. PMID: 33236194.
 8. Adela Arpitha, Rangarajan L. Computational techniques to segment and classify lumbar compression fractures. *Radiol Med*. 2020 Jun;125(6):551-560. doi: 10.1007/s11547-020-01145-7. Epub 2020 Feb 17. PMID: 32067163.
 9. Zhang H, Yuan G, Wang C, Zhao H, Zhu K, Guo J, Chen M, Liu H, Yang G, Wang Y, Ma X. Differentiation of benign versus malignant indistinguishable vertebral compression fractures by different machine learning with MRI-based radiomic features. *Eur Radiol*. 2023 Jul;33(7):5069-5076. doi: 10.1007/s00330-023-09678-x. Epub 2023 Apr 26. PMID: 37099176.
 10. Han CS, Hancock MJ, Downie A, Jarvik JG, Koes BW, Machado GC, Verhagen AP, Williams CM, Chen Q, Maher CG. Red flags to screen for vertebral fracture in people presenting with low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2023 Aug 24;8(8):CD014461. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD014461.pub2. PMID: 37615643; PMCID: PMC10448864.
 11. Zhao Y, Zhang T, Tang P. Diagnostic value of SPECT/CT bone imaging in fresh osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. *Hell J Nucl Med*. 2022 May-Aug;25(2):138-142. doi: 10.1967/s002449912473. Epub 2022 Aug 3. PMID: 35913860.
 12. Jindal V, Binyala S, Kohli SS. Balloon kyphoplasty versus percutaneous vertebroplasty for osteoporotic vertebral body compression fractures: clinical and radiological outcomes. *Spine J*. 2023 Apr;23(4):579-584. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2022.11.015. Epub 2022 Dec 5. PMID: 36481681.
 13. Zheng YM, Xu WJ, Hao DP, Liu XJ, Gao CP, Tang GZ, Li J, Wang HX, Dong C. A CT-based radiomics nomogram for differentiation of lympho-associated benign and malignant lesions of the parotid gland. *Eur Radiol*. 2021 May;31(5):2886-2895. doi: 10.1007/s00330-020-07421-4. Epub 2020 Oct 30. PMID: 33123791.
 14. Lv M, Zhou Z, Tang Q, Xu J, Huang Q, Lu L, Duan S, Zhu J, Li H. Differentiation of usual vertebral compression fractures using CT histogram analysis as quantitative biomarkers: A proof-of-principle study. *Eur J Radiol*. 2020 Oct;131:109264. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109264. Epub 2020 Sep 1. PMID: 32920220.
 15. Poullain F, Champsaur P, Pauly V, Knoepflin P, Le Corroller T, Creze M, Pithioux M, Bendahan D, Guenoun D. Vertebral trabecular bone texture analysis in opportunistic MRI and CT scan can distinguish patients with and without osteoporotic vertebral fracture: A preliminary study. *Eur J Radiol*. 2023 Jan;158:110642. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2022.110642. Epub 2022 Dec 6. PMID: 36527774.

Tables

Table 1: MRI Signal Characteristics in Benign vs. Malignant Vertebral Collapse

MRI Feature	Benign (%)	Malignant (%)	p-value
T1 Hypointensity	30 (25%)	5 (6.25%)	<0.001
T2 Hyperintensity	80 (66.67%)	95 (118.75%)	<0.001
Presence of Enhancement	25 (20.83%)	90 (112.5%)	<0.001
Well-defined Margins	85 (70.83%)	40 (50%)	0.002

Table 2: Diagnostic Performance of MRI in Differentiating Benign vs. Malignant Vertebral Collapse

Parameter	Value
Sensitivity	95%
Specificity	92%
Positive Predictive Value (PPV)	89%
Negative Predictive Value (NPV)	97%
p-value	<0.001

Table 3: ROC Curve Analysis for MRI Features in Differentiating Benign vs. Malignant Vertebral Collapse

MRI Feature	Area under ROC Curve	p-value
T2 Hyperintensity	0.97	<0.001
Presence of Enhancement	0.96	<0.001
Well-defined Margins	0.89	0.002

Table 4: Distribution of Underlying Etiologies in Benign Vertebral Collapse

Underlying Etiology	Number of Patients
Osteoporotic Fracture	90
Degenerative Changes	20
Traumatic Injury	10

Table 5: Distribution of Underlying Etiologies in Malignant Vertebral Collapse

Underlying Etiology	Number of Patients
Metastatic Lesions	50
Primary Bone Tumors	20
Infections	10

Table 6: Treatment Modalities Employed in Benign and Malignant Vertebral Collapse

Treatment Modality	Benign (%)	Malignant (%)
Conservative Management	110 (91.67%)	10 (12.5%)
Surgical Intervention	10 (8.33%)	70 (87.5%)